# COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

COMMUNITY SCHEME FUNDING BID REQUEST FORM

## Please Note:

The Council can only consider requests for CIL funding submitted using this form. Additional information, as set out below, will also need to be provided to enable the Council to assess your proposal. It may be necessary for the Council to ask for additional information before progressing your bid.

All bids for GIL funding will be assessed Wallingford Town Council. The Council will assess and prioritize bids against the following criteria before making a decision as to whether to fund a proposal.

#### Criteria (Projects to meet at least one of the following criteria)

- The proposal contributes to the delivery of infrastructure schemes and requirements set out in the Wallingford Neighborhood Plan Vision & Objectives
- The proposal is "spade ready" costed, planned, and ready to start.
- The proposal is included in WTC's Equivalent Section 123 List.
- The proposal levers in other funds that would not otherwise be available, e.g., needed to match or draw grant funding
- The projects should be of sufficient size, to be meaningful and impactful to the people of Wallingford.

# COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY (CIL)

## COMMUNITY SCHEME FUNDING BID REQUEST FORM

When preparing your submission please include information to enable the panel to consider the proposal against these ofteria and ensure that it:

- Is supported by robust evidence regarding the details of the project including costs
- Includes estimated costs and timing for delivery of the scheme.
- Includes evidence of alternative funding sources sought and details of any funding already secured.

Infrastructure Provider/Service/Body making the bid:
Wallingford Parochial Church Council
Project Lead Officer/Person and contact details:
Emma Cross
Project Title:
Leadwork Replacement
Project location:
Church of St Mary le More, Market Place, Wallingford OX10 0EG
Project summary including design details and please submit any relevant plans or drawings which relate to the scheme:
Every five years, a church building must be inspected by a suitably experienced and

Every five years, a church building must be inspected by a suitably experienced and qualified professional. These inspections are called "quinquennial inspections" or QIs. The last such inspection of the church was carried out in late 2021 by our Quinquennial Architect, Henry Sanders of Hestia Architects Ltd. His report highlighted issues with defective and failing leadwork on the roof of the church. These issues have caused damp ingress to the church resulting in damage to ceiling and walls and falling plaster.



What amount of CIL funding is being sought and what is this amount as an estimated percentage of the total cost of the project?

The project is estimated to cost £140K (excl VAT). It has been split into 2 phases: Phase 1 has been completed but we need to raise c. £44K to complete Phase II. We would be very grateful for CIL funding of £1,000 (2.2% of the total) to help us meet this need

Who will the project be delivered by?

Warren & Neale Roofing & Conservation Ltd

How will the scheme help support the ongoing development of the Wallingford?

The church is central both in its town centre location but it is also pivotal to the community as a whole across all Faiths, providing a venue for worship and community activities. For example it is used by a number of local associations for monthly meetings (U3A and the Wallingford Historical & Archaeological Society), weekly rehearsals for various choirs, concerts, local markets, carers' group, schools, toddlers group etc. It is a civic church and therefore key for several events during the year such as Mayor's Sunday. It is a vital community asset. Preserving historic buildings is always important and damage due to water incress can be extensive and costly.

What are the expected goals for the project and how will these be measured?

The project will allow us to secure the future of the building by fixing the deteriorating lead work in the valley between the north aisle and the nave which has been causing water ingress as well as rotting beams, plaster falling from height and damage to the ceiling and walls. The project also aims to address the damage around dormer windows thus preventing further water ingress to the church.

The main goal is therefore to prevent water ingress to the church, allowing us to preserve the fabric of the building and to keep the church open

What are the consequences of not carrying out the project?

Further damage will occur to ceiling and walls, plus a risk of falling plaster from height as the water seeps behind the plaster.

Has any consultation been carried out regarding the proposal and if so, what feedback was received?

The work was identified as necessary by our Architect, Henry Sanders of Hestla Architects Ltd

Please provide a breakdown of the total costs of the project where known or estimates of these costs if not known at this time.

Costs for Phase II:

General, incl scaffolding - £9.5K

Dormer windows - £8.8K

Roof repairs - £21.6K

Contingency - £4K

Please provide details of any tendering process undertaken and responses received.

Henry Sanders handled the tendering process on our behalf. Although he invited 7 companies to tender, only 2 returned responses: Warren & Neale and JG, Restorations.

What other funding sources have already been secured?

Oxfordshire Historic Churches Trust have agreed a grant of £15K Our own fundraising has secured approx £4.5K so far

Do you or the organisation/body submitting this bid for funding own the land/building in question and if not has the owner confirmed that the works can be undertaken on site? Please enclose details of any such agreement.

The church is owned by Church of England and we have applied for, and been granted, a Faculty, signed by Archdeacon David Tyler, for the work to be carried out

Have any formal consents been applied for and received (planning permission, building regulations approval etc) or do you have confirmation from the relevant authority that such approvals will not be required in this case? Please enclose details where relevant.
n/a
Please provide an outline of the implementation timetable for this project including key milestones:
We are hoping to start this work as soon as we have sufficient funding. Phase 1 was completed in early April 2024 on the south valley of the church roof and this work will complete the project in the north valley. It is unlikely to take more than 4 weeks.
Please provide the constitution or rules for the organisation/body submitting this bid.
We are covered by Ecclesiastical Law:
a. the Parochial Church Councils (Powers) Measure 1956; and
b. the Church Representation Rules

Any additional information the organisation/body submitting this bid considers will support their application.
The form of the state of the st
We have worked hard to ensure that the church is accessible and adaptable as well as
open and welcoming. For example, removing the pews in 2009, gave us the opportunity
to provide a more flexible community space and the under-floor heating ensures a warm
welcome and a comfortable space that people come into, not only for worship but also to
meet others.
The state of the s
This work would improve the longevity of the building. It will ensure that the church can
remain open and stay as a central community asset, is water tight and a safe
environmentfor all.

Any additional information the organisation/body submitting this bid considers will support their application.								
				- Committee of the control of the co	Mandalan and 17 April 2 to 1000 and 1 April 2	CHEMICAL STREET, STOCK FOR THE STREET PROCESS THE STREET AS		

